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Foreword

The EPRA Best Practices Recommendations (BPR) Guidelines have overtime become a cornerstone of any property company’s financial disclosures. The BPR have been the main driving force behind the massive increase in the transparency of the European listed sector.

The changes that are introduced by the current amendment to the BPR are the end result of a meticulous debate that took place within the EPRA membership over the last two years, following extensive consultations with industry stakeholders, including property companies, investors and analysts. The work that led to this result was initiated under the leadership of my predecessor as Reporting and Accounting Committee chairman and has involved numerous discussions and debates within the EPRA Board, the Committee itself as well as its subcommittees.

The two main changes that EPRA is introducing today are an update of the Net Asset Value metrics, with the introduction of three new KPI and the suppression of the old ones and the enhancement of the CapEx disclosure recommendation. Both are important elements needed to help users of property companies’ disclosure to better understand the dynamic of the underlying operations. These updates reflect a consensus driven view that change is needed in response to the profound evolution of property companies’ business model. I am confident that, after an initial period of transitioning to the new Guidelines, the changes will help further improving transparency, comparability and relevance of financial reporting in our industry.

The EPRA leadership and finance team deserve all the credit for managing to achieve a stakeholder-driven consensus on these two topics, and my predecessor in the Chairmanship the credit for accepting to take on the challenge of opening these matters for discussion. I can commit today to follow in their footsteps in pushing for a continuous improvement of the EPRA BPR.

Olivier Elamine
Chief Executive Officer, alstria office REIT
Chairman, EPRA Reporting & Accounting Committee
OCTOBER 2019
2.1 Introduction

Following extensive discussions with the investment community and property companies, the decision was taken to focus the EPRA BPR on those areas of reporting that are of most relevance to investors and where more consistent reporting across Europe would bring the greatest benefits in the overall transparency of the sector.

The recommendations in this section relate to general reporting best practice and set a framework for property investment companies to prioritise disclosures. The EPRA Performance Measures, are not expected to be part of the audited financial statements, but will generally be part of the front section in a company’s annual report. As such it is the auditor’s obligation to ensure consistency with the audited financial statements, rather than to opine on them.

Real estate companies, who adopt the EPRA recommendations, must comply with all of the recommendations, or explain why they do not. EPRA recommendations do not need to be applied if they are not considered material. The relevance of information is affected by its nature and materiality. Information is material if its omission or misstatement could influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial information. Thus, materiality provides a threshold or cut-off point.

These recommendations are effective for accounting periods starting on January 1st, 2020 and will be the basis of EPRA’s BPR Awards in 2021 and beyond. These recommendations are supported by additional guidance as well as being updated for changes in IFRS and therefore it is advisable to refer to the live document on the EPRA website which can be found at: www.epra.com.

2.2 Language of financial reporting

Recommendation:

Financial reports and associated management statements, footnotes and tables/exhibits of real estate companies should be issued in English.

English should also be used on relevant websites and on press releases.

2.3 Compliance with EPRA BPR

Recommendation:

Companies should include in their annual report, a summary table similar to the one on page 7, which includes the EPRA Performance Measures calculated. Companies are also encouraged to refer to the reported EPRA Performance Measures throughout their annual report and not limit this to disclosure in the table. Detailed explanations of these EPRA Performance Measures (EPM) are included in Section 3.

Companies should clearly indicate within their annual report or website which EPM’s have been disclosed and where a user can find these disclosures within the report.

The EPRA BPR Checklist provided in Section 7 may be helpful in this respect.
EPRA Performance Measures
## Summary Table

Companies should provide a summary table showing the EPRA Performance Measures (EPM) in a prominent place in the annual report showing the following items. During the first year of implementation, companies should provide the EPM for the current year and prior year comparable. Where this is not possible, the reason why should be explained and a prior period comparable should then be provided for future reporting periods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EPRA PERFORMANCE MEASURE</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Page ref.</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 EPRA EARNINGS</td>
<td>Earnings from operational activities.</td>
<td>Page 8</td>
<td>A key measure of a company’s underlying operating results and an indication of the extent to which current dividend payments are supported by earnings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>EPRA Net Reinstatement Value:</strong> Assumes that entities never sell assets and aims to represent the value required to rebuild the entity.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>EPRA Net Tangible Assets:</strong> Assumes that entities buy and sell assets, thereby crystallising certain levels of unavoidable deferred tax.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>EPRA Net Disposal Value:</strong> Represents the shareholders’ value under a disposal scenario, where deferred tax, financial instruments and certain other adjustments are calculated to the full extent of their liability, net of any resulting tax.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 EPRA NAV METRICS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Page 11</td>
<td>The EPRA NAV set of metrics make adjustments to the NAV per the IFRS financial statements to provide stakeholders with the most relevant information on the fair value of the assets and liabilities of a real estate investment company, under different scenarios.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annualised rental income based on the cash rents passing at the balance sheet date, less non-recoverable property operating expenses, divided by the market value of the property, increased with (estimated) purchasers’ costs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (I) EPRA NET INITIAL YIELD (NIY)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Page 17</td>
<td>A comparable measure for portfolio valuations. This measure should make it easier for investors to judge themselves, how the valuation of portfolio X compares with portfolio Y. Companies should provide detail on the calculation of the measure and reconciliation between the EPRA NIY and ‘topped-up’ NIY in the recommended format as shown in Section 3.4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This measure incorporates an adjustment to the EPRA NIY in respect of the expiration of rent-free periods (or other unexpired lease incentives such as discounted rent periods and step rents).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(II) EPRA ‘TOPPED-UP’ NIY</td>
<td></td>
<td>Page 17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 EPRA VACANCY RATE</td>
<td>Estimated Market Rental Value (ERV) of vacant space divided by ERV of the whole portfolio.</td>
<td></td>
<td>A ‘pure’ (%) measure of investment property space that is vacant, based on ERV.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 EPRA COST RATIOS</td>
<td>Administrative &amp; operating costs (including &amp; excluding costs of direct vacancy) divided by gross rental income.</td>
<td></td>
<td>A key measure to enable meaningful measurement of the changes in a company’s operating costs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1 EPRA Earnings

Issue:

Earnings reported in the income statement as required under IFRS do not provide stakeholders with the most relevant information on the operating performance of real estate companies.

Rationale:

For real estate investment companies, a key measure of a company’s operational performance and the extent to which its dividend payments to shareholders are underpinned by earnings is the level of income arising from operational activities. Unrealised changes in valuation, gains or losses on disposals of properties and certain other items do not necessarily provide an accurate picture of the company’s underlying operational performance.

Recommendation:

Real estate companies should disclose EPRA Earnings in accordance with the requirements below. EPRA Earnings is a measure of operational performance and represents the net income generated from the operational activities. It is intended to provide an indicator of the underlying income performance generated from the leasing and management of the property portfolio, but will also include earnings from non-property operating activity should a real estate company be involved in such activity.

As EPRA Earnings is used to measure the operational performance, it excludes all components not relevant to the underlying net income performance of the portfolio, such as the change in value of the underlying investments and any gains or losses from the sales of properties. In effect, what is left as EPRA Earnings is the income return generated by the investment, rather than the change in value or capital return on investments.

EPRA Earnings Per Share (EPRA EPS) should be calculated on the basis of the basic number of shares (in line with IFRS earnings). The main reason for this is that EPRA Earnings and the dividends to which they give rise, accrue to current shareholders and therefore it is more appropriate to use the basic number of shares. The disclosure of EPRA EPS based on the diluted number of shares is also strongly encouraged, although this should be clearly identified as ‘Diluted EPRA EPS’. Note that where a company has net share settled convertible bonds (not bifurcated between debt and equity instruments) then the disclosure of Diluted EPRA EPS is mandatory and must take into account the dilution effects of any convertible instruments that are in the money.

EPRA Earnings is similar to NAREIT FFO. The measures are not exactly the same – as EPRA Earnings has its basis in IFRS and FFO is based on US-GAAP.
A. EPRA Earnings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>In thousands euro/pounds etc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Earnings per IFRS income statement</strong></td>
<td>xxx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments to calculate EPRA Earnings, exclude:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i) Changes in value of investment properties, development properties held for investment and other interests</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) Profits or losses on disposal of investment properties, development properties held for investment and other interests</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) Profits or losses on sales of trading properties including impairment charges in respect of trading properties</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iv) Tax on profits or losses on disposals</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(v) Negative goodwill / goodwill impairment</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(vi) Changes in fair value of financial instruments and associated close-out costs</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(vii) Acquisition costs on share deals and non-controlling joint venture interests</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(viii) Deferred tax in respect of EPRA adjustments</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ix) Adjustments (i) to (viii) above in respect of joint ventures (unless already included under proportional consolidation)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(x) Non-controlling interests in respect of the above</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EPRA Earnings</strong></td>
<td>xxx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EPRA Earnings per Share (EPS)</strong></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company specific adjustments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Company specific adjustment 1</td>
<td>YYY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Company specific adjustment 2</td>
<td>YYY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company specific Adjusted Earnings</td>
<td>YYY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company specific Adjusted EPS</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For an excel version of the tables, please click [here](#).

Explanation of adjustments

The adjustments (i) to (x) are the required adjustments to determine EPRA Earnings. Companies should not make any other adjustments to arrive at EPRA Earnings. Shoule companies wish to make other adjustments to arrive at an underlying performance measure appropriate for their business
model, they should do that ‘below EPRA Earnings’ and they should use a different name for that measure such as ‘Adjusted Earnings’.

For example, should trading be considered a part of the company’s core business, companies can make an adjustment, below EPRA Earnings, to calculate the company-specific earnings measure. Companies should clearly disclose the additional adjustments made and the reasoning for these adjustments.

(i) Changes in fair values of investment properties, development properties held for investment and other investment interests
The gain or loss in the income statement arising in the period from the revaluation of investment properties, development properties held for investment purposes and other investment interests held at their fair value.

(ii) Profits or losses on disposals of investment properties, development properties held for investment purposes and other non-current and current investment interests
The profit or loss on disposal of investment properties, development properties held for investment and other current and non-current investment interests.

(iii) Profits or losses on sale of trading properties
Property trading is not considered to be a core activity of property investment companies. Therefore results from property trading should be adjusted to arrive at EPRA Earnings.

(iv) Tax on profits or losses on disposals
The tax charge or credit relating to profits or losses on investment properties, development properties and other investments sold in the period, and profits and losses on sale of trading properties, calculated consistently with (ii) and (iii) above.

(v) Negative goodwill / goodwill impairment
The excess of the fair value of assets acquired over their cost of acquisition, which IFRS requires to be recognised immediately in the income statement, together with any impairment charges in respect of positive goodwill and amortisation of intangibles.

(vi) Changes in fair value of financial instruments, debt and associated close-out costs
The surplus or deficit arising in the period from the mark-to-market of financial instruments which are used for hedging purposes and net share settled convertible bonds (not bifurcated between debt and equity). Whether the company has chosen to apply hedge accounting under IFRS is irrelevant. Material profits/costs associated with the early close out of financial instruments used for hedging and/or debt instruments should also be excluded from EPRA Earnings.

The only exception to this is the early close-out of financial instruments or debt with a maturity date ending within the current reporting period. In such circumstances, the cost of early close-out should not be adjusted as the fair value difference would have been recognised in the current year’s earnings through the interest line and therefore including the cost of early close-out should not significantly change EPRA Earnings for that year.

(vii) Acquisition costs
Acquisition costs related to share deals (IFRS 3) and joint venture interests are, under IFRS, recognised in the profit and loss account when incurred. Property-related acquisition costs are first capitalised and subsequently recognised in the profit and loss account as a revaluation movement. To achieve consistency, acquisition costs related to share deals and joint venture interests should be excluded to arrive at EPRA Earnings.

(viii) Deferred tax and current tax in respect of EPRA Adjustments
Companies should exclude the deferred tax charge or credit in the period which only relates to the above items and which would not crystallise until or unless the property, investment or financial instrument is sold. This would typically include deferred tax on revaluation surpluses and tax depreciation (in the UK capital allowances) on real estate which could reverse on disposal of the
Companies should also exclude any current tax relating directly to the above adjustments to the extent that they are considered material. REIT conversion charges should also be excluded, assuming they are essentially intended to settle the latent capital gains on property.

(ix) Adjustments in respect of Joint Ventures
Adjustments (i) to (viii) above should also be applied to the net result from joint ventures.

(x) Impact on non-controlling interests
The impact of non-controlling interests in relation to the above adjustments should be taken into account.

3.2 EPRA Net Asset Value metrics

Issue:
Net Asset Value (NAV) is a key performance measure used in the real estate industry. However, NAV reported in the financial statements under IFRS may not provide stakeholders with the most relevant information on the fair value of the assets and liabilities. As property companies have evolved into actively managed entities, including non-property operating activities, this has resulted in more active ownership, higher asset turnover, and balance sheet financing has shifted from traditional bank lending into capital markets. The below guidelines are meant to reflect this nature of property companies. Hence, EPRA NAV and EPRA NNNAV are replaced by three new Net Asset Valuation metrics: EPRA Net Reinstatement Value, EPRA Net Tangible Assets and EPRA Net Disposal Value.

Rationale:

EPRA Net Reinstatement Value:
The objective of the EPRA Net Reinstatement Value measure is to highlight the value of net assets on a long-term basis. Assets and liabilities that are not expected to crystallise in normal circumstances such as the fair value movements on financial derivatives and deferred taxes on property valuation surpluses are therefore excluded. Since the aim of the metric is to also reflect what would be needed to recreate the company through the investment markets based on its current capital and financing structure, related costs such as real estate transfer taxes should be included.

EPRA Net Tangible Assets:
The underlying assumption behind the EPRA Net Tangible Assets calculation assumes entities buy and sell assets, thereby crystallising certain levels of deferred tax liability.

EPRA Net Disposal Value:
Shareholders are interested in understanding the full extent of liabilities and resulting shareholder value if company assets are sold and/or if liabilities are not held until maturity. For this purpose, the EPRA Net Disposal Value provides the reader with a scenario where deferred tax, financial instruments, and certain other adjustments are calculated as to the full extent of their liability, including tax exposure not reflected in the Balance Sheet, net of any resulting tax. This measure should not be viewed as a “liquidation NAV” because, in many cases, fair values do not represent liquidation values.

Recommendation:
Real estate companies that choose to disclose EPRA NAVs must report all three EPRA NAVs metrics and reconcile them to IFRS. The starting point for all three EPRA NAVs metrics should be “equity attributable
to owners of the (parent) company” as per the IFRS balance sheet, i.e. the “Total shareholders’ equity” excluding any non-controlling interests.

The adjustments to the various NAVs measures detailed below should apply consistently to the entire consolidated group including wholly owned entities, joint ventures, joint operations and associated undertakings. The exception to this approach is for the EPRA Net Disposal Value measure where deferred tax adjustments only apply to the sale of wholly owned entities, non-controlling interests, and the consolidated group’s investments in its joint ventures and associated undertakings.

This new set of EPRA NAVs metrics will come into full effect for accounting period starting on January 1st, 2020. Upon adoption, and to assist the users of their financial statements, companies should also provide a bridge between the previous EPRA NAVs metrics, as calculated in line with the EPRA November 2016 BPR, and the measures as set out in these guidelines for both the current and comparative accounting periods.
B. EPRA Net Asset Value Metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EPRA NRV</th>
<th>EPRA NTA</th>
<th>EPRA NDV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IFRS Equity attributable to shareholders</td>
<td>xxx</td>
<td>xxx</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Include / Exclude*:

i) Hybrid instruments | x | x | x |

Diluted NAV | xxx | xxx | xxx |

Include*:

ii.a) Revaluation of IP (if IAS 40 cost option is used) | x | x | x |

ii.b) Revaluation of IPUC1 (if IAS 40 cost option is used) | x | x | x |

ii.c) Revaluation of other non-current investments2 | x | x | x |

iii) Revaluation of tenant leases held as finance leases3 | x | x | x |

iv) Revaluation of trading properties4 | x | x | x |

Diluted NAV at Fair Value | xxx | xxx | xxx |

Exclude*:

v) Deferred tax in relation to fair value gains of IP5 | x | x |

vi) Fair value of financial instruments | x | x |

vii) Goodwill as a result of deferred tax | x | x | x |

viii.a) Goodwill as per the IFRS balance sheet | x | x |

viii.b) Intangibles as per the IFRS balance sheet | x |

NAV | xxx | xxx | xxx |

Fully diluted number of shares

NAV per share | xxx | xxx | xxx |

1 Difference between development property held on the balance sheet at cost and fair value of that development property.

2 Revaluation of intangibles to be presented under adjustment (x) Revaluation of Intangibles to fair value and not under this line item.

3 Difference between trading properties held on the balance sheet at cost (IAS 2) and the fair value of those trading properties.

4 Deferred tax adjustment for NTA should be calculated in line with the guidelines outlined under page 15.

5 RETT should be adjusted in accordance with the guidelines outlined under page 17.

* "Include" indicates that an asset (whether on or off balance sheet) should be added to the shareholders’ equity, whereas a liability should be deducted.

* "Exclude" indicates that an asset (part of the balance sheet) is reversed, whereas a liability (part of the balance sheet) is added back.

For an excel version of the tables, please click here.

Additional requirement - companies are expected to disclose the types of assets to which the initial recognition exemption under IAS 12 has been applied as well as the associated amount of deferred tax liabilities that were not recognised in the IFRS balance sheet.
Explanation of adjustments

(i) Hybrid instruments

This recommendation is applicable to all three NAV metrics. Distinction is not made in these adjustments as the effect of hybrids, either dilutive or not, is applicable to all three NAVs. The below non-exhaustive list consists of examples of hybrid instruments:

- Preference shares
- Convertible bonds
- Warrants
- Options
- Perpetuals

Exclude:

If applicable, the preparer should exclude from shareholders’ equity all instruments that increase it, but do not add to the share capital attributable to owners of the parent. These instruments do not provide rights or control over the issuer, therefore there is no economic value for current (or future in the case of in-the-money options) voting shareholders of the company. Some examples may include perpetual loans, hybrid loans and convertibles that are out of money. The NAV metrics also do not reinstate preferential shares that also are classified as liabilities under IFRS.

For convertibles that are “out-of-money”, exclude the portion of the convertible which is classified as equity under IFRS. With this approach, these convertibles will be treated as if they were entirely debt.

Include:

EPRA NAVs per share should be calculated on a diluted basis, in accordance with IFRS - IAS 33, taking into account the impact of any options, convertibles, etc. that are “dilutive”. This approach already treats the debt as if it converts. The “diluted” basis should take into account all the new shares that would be issued if all dilutive instruments are triggered.

For convertibles that are “in-the-money”, include the part that is classified as debt under IFRS. With this approach, these convertibles will be treated as if they were entirely equity.

(ii) Revaluation to fair value of investment properties, development properties held for investment and other non-current investments

This recommendation is applicable to all three NAVs.

a. If the option under IAS 40 has been used to account for investment properties at cost, this adjustment includes the revaluation of the asset to fair value in accordance with the valuation option under IAS 40.

b. Include the valuation increase/decrease to fair value of any properties held at cost under IAS 16.

c. Include the valuation increase/decrease to fair value of any other non-current asset where fair value can be reliably determined. The basis of valuation, and, in particular, whether or not a third-party appraiser was involved will need to be disclosed.

(iii) Revaluation of tenant leases held as finance leases

The surplus or deficit arising on the revaluation to market value of tenant leases which are accounted for as finance leases. The basis of valuation, and, in particular, whether or not a third-party appraiser was involved will need to be disclosed. This recommendation is applicable to all three NAVs.
(iv) Revaluation of trading properties
The surplus arising on the revaluation to market value of properties held for trading or other tangible investments, which are included in the IFRS balance sheet at the lower of cost and net realizable value. The basis of valuation, and, in particular, whether or not a third-party appraiser was involved will need to be disclosed. This recommendation is applicable to all three NAVs. The amount should be shown net of the related deferred tax, when applicable.

(v) Deferred tax in relation to fair value movements on investment property

**EPRA Net Reinstatement Value:** Exclude the deferred tax as per the IFRS balance sheet in respect of the difference between the fair value and the tax book value of investment property, development property held for investment, intangible assets, or other non-current investments as this would only become payable if the assets were sold.

The deferred tax relating to items (iv) and (vi), which would not crystallise until or unless the property or financial instrument is sold, should also be excluded. The same treatment should be adopted for any deferred tax relating to property depreciation allowances (in the UK capital allowances) that could reverse on disposal of the property.

**EPRA Net Tangible Assets:** Use any of the following options to adjust for deferred tax in EPRA Net Tangible Assets. Disclose the below table to provide further information on your chosen option.

(i) When a company has clearly and specifically identified in its reporting part of its portfolio that it intends to hold and does not intend in the long run to sell, exclude such deferred taxes which are attributable to such part of the portfolio.

(ii) A company may specifically identify, based on its track record and/or tax structuring, that deferred tax which will only partially crystallise for part of its portfolio. In this case, the deferred tax can be reduced by a specific percentage for such part of the portfolio. For the avoidance of doubt, deferred taxes are supposed to have crystallised whether it is payed as an actual tax, or as part of a purchase price reduction, or in any other shape or form (whether cash or not). In such case, the company must disclose the basis and methodology for such treatment in the EPRA Net Tangible Asset calculation. This must include the disclosure of the way the percentage of saving has been calculated, as well as the disclosure of the most recent percentage of saving achieved in similar transaction.

(iii) In any other cases, exclude 50% of the deferred taxes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additional deferred tax disclosure if option (i) or (ii) used</th>
<th>Fair Value</th>
<th>as % of total portfolio</th>
<th>% of deferred tax excluded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Portfolio that is subject to deferred tax and intention is to hold and not to sell in the long run</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portfolio that is subject to partial deferred tax and to tax structuring</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EPRA Net Disposal Value:** The deferred tax as per the IFRS balance sheet is expected to crystallise, therefore, no adjustment is needed. This is on the basis of the sales of all the assets and settlement of all the liability of the entity.

---

1 From time to time, deferred tax is not actually paid, but the price paid for the shares of the company holding the real estate is being adjusted downward to reflect the tax liability. For the purpose of the EPRA BPR, this price adjustment is similar to the payment of the deferred tax.
(vi) Fair value of financial instruments

**EPRA Net Reinstatement Value and EPRA Net Tangible Assets:** Exclude fair value financial instruments that are used for hedging purposes where the company has the intention of keeping the hedge position until the end of the contractual duration. Whether the company has chosen to/is able to apply hedge accounting under IFRS is irrelevant. The mark-to-market value of any convertible debt or other financial instrument should be excluded from net assets.

The logic for this adjustment is that, under normal circumstances, the financial derivatives that companies use to provide an economic hedge are held until maturity and so any fair value movements will not crystallise. These movements are therefore excluded under EPRA's Net Reinstatement Value and EPRA Net Tangible Assets measures on a similar basis to deferred tax on revaluation surpluses. Note that under EPRA’s Net Disposal Value measure, both the fair value of financial derivatives and the fair value of debt are included in arriving at this NAV measure.

For foreign currency hedging instruments (fair value hedges or net investment hedges) the element of fair value movements in the balance sheet associated with foreign exchange retranslation should remain in all three NAV metrics (NRV, NTA, and NDV) to offset the movement in the underlying investment being hedged.

Any element of the foreign currency hedging instrument (where the hedge instrument is intended to be held to maturity) associated with changes in interest rates (i.e. the same as for interest rate hedging instruments explained above) should be excluded from EPRA's Net Reinstatement Value and Net Tangible Assets measures.

**EPRA Net Disposal Value:** Include the full fair value of financial instruments, including the fair value of any loans and borrowings held at amortised cost under IFRS. Under conditions of immediate disposal, a company may lack financial flexibility and not be in a position to let debt and associated derivatives run to expiry, as assumed in the EPRA Net Reinstatement Value and Net Tangible Assets. EPRA Net Disposal Value therefore requires the inclusion of the full fair value of financial debt and financial instruments, net of the associated deferred tax effect.

(vii) Goodwill as a result of deferred taxes

Exclude goodwill arising as a direct result of accounting for deferred tax in an acquisition.

(viii. a) Goodwill as per the IFRS Balance Sheet

**EPRA Net Reinstatement Value:** Goodwill as per the IFRS balance sheet should not be adjusted for EPRA Net Reinstatement Value calculation.

**EPRA Net Tangible Assets:** Goodwill as per the balance sheet should be excluded.

**EPRA Net Disposal Value:** Goodwill as per the balance sheet should be excluded.

(viii. b) Intangibles as per the IFRS Balance Sheet

**EPRA Net Reinstatement Value:** Intangibles as per the IFRS balance sheet should not be adjusted for EPRA Net Reinstatement Value calculation.

**EPRA Net Tangible Assets:** Intangibles as per the balance sheet should be excluded from EPRA Net Tangible Assets.

**EPRA Net Disposal Value:** Intangibles as per the balance sheet should not be adjusted for EPRA Net Disposal Value.
(ix) Fair value of fixed interest rate debt

EPRA Net Reinstatement Value and EPRA Net Tangible Assets: No adjustment to be made.

EPRA Net Disposal Value: Any financial liability and asset on the balance sheet of the company shall be accounted for at fair value, net of any related deferred tax.

(x) Revaluation of intangibles to fair value

EPRA Net Reinstatement Value: When the fair value of an intangible asset can be reliably determined and is not already included within goodwill or otherwise recorded on the balance sheet, it can be added to the Net Reinstatement Value. The basis of valuation will need to be disclosed. Companies should use an external valuer at least annually to determine the valuation of their intangible assets and should disclose the name of the firms undertaking the valuations. Where the company has goodwill on its balance sheet, care should be taken so that no double counting takes place. The use of this adjustment is at the discretion of the reporting company.

EPRA Net Tangible Assets: No adjustment is made.

EPRA Net Disposal Value: No adjustment is made.

(xi) Real estate transfer tax

EPRA Net Reinstatement Value: Companies will use the gross value as provided in the Valuation Certificate (i.e. the value prior to any deduction of purchasers’ costs).

EPRA Net Tangible Assets: Companies are recommended to use the IFRS values (usually the Net Value in the Valuation Certificate, i.e. the property value net of any purchasers’ costs and adjusted for any items addressed in § IAS40.50). Companies also have the option to use the optimised net property value if it can reasonably demonstrate that it can actually achieve this optimization on a consistent basis. Companies will have the option to use a transfer tax optimisation adjustment to gross-up their Net Values if they can justify this and provide sufficient disclosure. A way to justify the adjustment would be for a company to show that it has consistently achieved over the past periods lower transfer tax on its real estate transactions. The average transfer tax achieved could then be used.

EPRA Net Disposal Value: No adjustment is made.

3.3 EPRA Net Initial Yield and EPRA ‘topped-up’ NIY

Issue:

EPRA has received consistent feedback from investors and analysts that there is too much variation in the nature and extent of yield disclosures and that yield measurements used are not consistently defined.

Rationale:

Consistent disclosure of yield measurements such as net initial yield, ‘topped-up’ yields and equivalent yields will always be a challenge between companies because each measure serves a different purpose depending on the user and the local property market.

In order to encourage the provision of comparable and consistent disclosure of yield measures across Europe, EPRA has identified two yield measures that can be clearly defined, widely used by all participants in the direct and indirect European real estate market and should be largely comparable from one company to the next and with market evidence.
**Recommendation:**

Real estate companies should disclose two complimentary yields: EPRA Net Initial Yield and EPRA ‘topped-up’ Net Initial Yield – to incorporate an adjustment in respect of the expiration of rent-free periods (or other lease incentives). Companies should clearly set out the calculation of these measures, including reconciliation between the two measures at a portfolio level using the format set out on page 14.

Companies are also encouraged to provide information to enable any other published yields to be reconciled to these yield measures.

**EPRA Net Initial Yield (NIY)**

EPRA NIY is calculated as the annualised rental income based on the cash rents passing at the balance sheet date (but adjusted as set out below), less non-recoverable property operating expenses, divided by the gross market value of the property.

**EPRA NIY should incorporate annualised rental income based on the cash rents passing at the balance sheet date, adjusted to include:**

- CPI indexation adjustments (where applicable) to which the company is contractually entitled as at the balance sheet date based on latest published index or valuer’s assumption.
- Increases in rental income to which the company is contractually entitled and relating to rent reviews arising before the balance sheet date, as determined by the external valuer.
- Estimated turnover rents and car parking income or other recurring operational income. For avoidance of doubt, excluding key money received and surrender premiums received.
- A deduction for non-recoverable property operating expenses, including:
  - service charge, local property taxes or insurance shortfalls relating to vacant space
  - permanent shortfall on service charge or operating expenses (such as ground rents)
  - other direct property management costs whether externalised or internalised, (such as shopping centre management expenses), net of the part recovered under the service charge.

- For avoidance of doubt, the following operating costs are not deducted in arriving at the EPRA Net Initial Yield:
  - letting and rent review fees (including letting fees payable to brokers)
  - provision for doubtful debtors
  - marketing costs
  - eviction costs.

**EPRA ‘topped-up’ NIY**

The EPRA ‘topped-up’ NIY is calculated by making an adjustment to the EPRA NIY in respect of the expiration of rent free periods (or other unexpired lease incentives such as discounted rent periods and step rents).

**For the avoidance of doubt:**

- Where a property has been let but the cash rent passing is reduced due to the existence of unexpired lease incentives, the EPRA ‘topped-up’ NIY includes the annualised cash rent that will apply at the expiry of the lease incentives.
- Permitted adjustments are only those that are contractually agreed and fixed at the balance sheet date and do not include future indexation uplifts, rent reviews or rental uplifts which are intended to compensate for future inflation.

In addition, both EPRA Yields should:

- Be based upon the property gross market value (including gross-up for estimated purchaser’s transaction costs).
- Be disclosed for the entire completed portfolio. Segmental disclosure and supplementary disclosure of the yields for individually significant assets or subelements of the portfolio, is encouraged.
- Exclude undeveloped land and construction in progress, both from the numerator and the denominator.
- Clearly show the relationship between the properties included within the EPRA NIY calculation and the balance sheet and NAV calculation.
- Be separately provided in respect of any significant properties within joint ventures, to the extent not included within the overall portfolio disclosure.
- Be reconciled to any other company specific yield measures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C. EPRA NIY and ‘topped-up’ NIY disclosure¹</th>
<th>In thousands euros/pounds etc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment property – wholly owned</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment property – share of JVs/Funds</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trading property (including share of JVs)</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Less:</strong> developments</td>
<td>(x)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed property portfolio</td>
<td>xxx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowance for estimated purchasers’ costs</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gross up completed property portfolio valuation</strong></td>
<td>B  xxx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annualised cash passing rental income</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property outgoings</td>
<td>(x)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annualised net rents</strong></td>
<td>A  xxx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Add:</strong> notional rent expiration of rent free periods or other lease incentives²,³</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Topped-up net annualised rent</strong></td>
<td>C  xxx</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| EPRA NIY                                  | A/B  x%                      |
| EPRA ‘topped-up’ NIY⁴                     | C/B  x%                      |

¹Disclosure of EPRA net yield calculations on a segmental basis is encouraged.
²Adjustment for unexpired lease incentives such as rent-free periods, discounted rent periods and step rents. The adjustment includes the annualised cash rent that will apply at the expiry of the lease incentive.
³Companies should disclose the period over which their rent-frees expire in a footnote (or the weighted average if management’s view is that this gives a clearer picture).
⁴Companies who choose to publish additional yields are encouraged to provide a reconciliation showing the specific adjustments from the EPRA NIY to this company specific yield.

For an excel version of the tables, please click [here](#).
### 3.4 EPRA Vacancy Rate

**Issue:**

EPRA has received consistent feedback from investors and analysts that there is too much variation in the nature and extent of vacancy disclosures, and that measures used are not consistently defined.

**Rationale:**

Most companies disclose information about their vacancy rate (sometimes referred to as the void rate), but there are a variety of different practices in use. Consistent disclosure of vacancy measures will always be a challenge between companies because property markets around Europe have different characteristics and each measure can serve a different purpose.

In order to encourage the provision of comparable and consistent disclosure of vacancy measures, EPRA has identified a single vacancy measure that can be clearly defined, should be widely used by all participants in the direct real estate market and comparable from one company to the next.

**Recommendation:**

Real Estate companies should disclose EPRA Vacancy Rate at the reporting date.

EPRA Vacancy Rate should be expressed as a percentage being the ERV of vacant space divided by ERV of the whole portfolio.

Vacancy Rate should only be calculated for all completed properties (investment, trading and including share of joint ventures’ vacancy), but excluding those properties which are under development.

EPRA encourages companies to provide additional commentary and analysis to explain any significant or distorting factors or likely future trends in the Vacancy Rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D. EPRA Vacancy Rate</th>
<th>In thousands euros/pounds etc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimated rental value of vacant space</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated rental value of the whole portfolio</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPRA Vacancy Rate</td>
<td>A/B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For an excel version of the tables, please click [here](#).

### 3.5 EPRA Cost Ratios

The EPRA Cost Ratios are aimed at providing a consistent base-line from which companies can provide further information around costs where appropriate. The EPRA recommendation therefore includes suggestions for how companies might provide this additional information.

The EPRA Cost Ratios are not intended to be used to directly compare with other industry sectors like the unlisted fund sector. INREV recommendations such as the Total Expense Ratio (TER) do not include property expenses and management 'performance fee' costs and are not comparable to the EPRA measure, which includes all property expenses, management fee costs and remuneration.
Recommendation:

Real estate companies should publish both ‘EPRA Cost Ratio (including direct vacancy costs)’ and ‘EPRA Cost Ratio (excluding direct vacancy costs)’. Companies should disclose the full calculation in a manner consistent with Table E and the recommendations below:

- The full names (as described above) should be given to the respective measures, whenever used.
- Companies should disclose both measures within their annual report and are encouraged to give equal prominence to both measures (for example disclosing both in any EPRA KPI summary table). However, companies are not required to disclose both every time a cost ratio is referenced in the report.

The EPRA Cost Ratio (including direct vacancy costs) should include all administrative and operating expenses in the IFRS statements including the share of joint ventures overheads and operating expenses (net of any service fees).

- Service fees and management fees should be netted against costs excluding any actual/estimated profit element. Other income/recharges which relate to or are specifically intended to cover overhead and property expenses should also be included if these are significant.
- Operating expenses include all property costs which are taken through the income statement such as bad debt expenses, maintenance expenditure, development costs written off, and non-recoverable costs. However, investment property depreciation, ground rent expenses and vacancy costs should be excluded (deducted from the reported IFRS costs).
- Operating expenses not recharged specifically to tenants but which are de facto included in the rents should also be excluded from Operating expenses.

The EPRA Cost Ratio (excluding direct vacancy costs) should be calculated as above but with an adjustment to exclude vacancy costs (see ix in explanation of adjustments below).

Both EPRA Cost Ratios should be calculated as a percentage of Gross Rental Income less ground rents (including share of joint venture Gross Rental Income less ground rent).

- Operating expenses not recharged specifically to tenants but which are de facto included in the rents should also be deducted from Gross Rental Income for the same amount as the deduction from the expenses (see above).
- EPRA also encourages companies to provide additional information on the full (i.e., nominal) amount of overheads and operating expenses capitalised (even if these are nil) and explain their policy with respect to capitalising overhead and operating expenses.
Companies are encouraged to use the EPRA Cost Ratios as a base-line to provide additional disclosures, where appropriate, on costs in the context of their own business model. For example, companies might provide a reconciliation between the EPRA Cost Ratio and a cost measure based on a Gross Asset Value (GAV) denominator; a cost measure which excludes costs of development or an ‘administration’ cost measure.

### Explanation of adjustments

**(i) Expense lines**

Include all of the ‘overhead’ and ‘operating’ expense lines (including property related expenditure) in the IFRS Income Statement between revenue and the net operating result. Service charge expenses should be recorded net of service charge fees (see item ii).

### E. EPRA Cost Ratios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Include:</th>
<th>In thousands euro/pounds etc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i) Administrative/operating expense line per IFRS income statement</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) Net service charge costs/fees</td>
<td>x/(x)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) Management fees less actual/estimated profit element</td>
<td>(x)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iv) Other operating income/recharges intended to cover overhead expenses less any related profits</td>
<td>(x)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(v) Share of Joint Ventures expenses</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exclude (if part of the above):**

| (vi) Investment Property depreciation | (x) |
| (vii) Ground rent costs | (x) |
| (viii) Service charge costs recovered through rents but not separately invoiced | (x) |

**Costs (including direct vacancy costs) (A)**

- xxx

**Costs (excluding direct vacancy costs) (B)**

- xxx

**Costs (including direct vacancy costs) (A) xxx**

**Costs (excluding direct vacancy costs) (B)**

**EPRA Cost Ratio (including direct vacancy costs) (A/C)**

**EPRA Cost Ratio (excluding direct vacancy costs) (B/C)**

**Additional Recommended EPRA Disclosure**

*Overhead and operating expenses capitalised (incl. share of joint ventures)*

* Companies should clearly explain their policy with regard to overheads capitalised even if they do not disclose the amount of overhead capitalised or disclose a nil amount (see explanation below)

For an excel version of the tables, please click [here](#).
For the avoidance of doubt, the following costs are excluded:

- Corporate income tax
- Fair value gains/losses
- Discounts on acquisition/goodwill impairments
- Finance costs
- Gains/losses on sale of properties & disposals
- Companies should not exclude items purely because they are considered 'exceptional'.

(ii) Net service charge costs/fees
Service charge fees/recharges should be deducted from service costs.

If the company has rent which includes operating expenses not recharged specifically to tenants (e.g., 'warm' rents – a common practice in Nordic countries, and property costs which are included in the rents but which are not rebilled directly under the triple-net lease market practice) adjustments should be made to offset the service income against service costs and deduct this income from Gross Rental Income in (ix) and (xi) below. Both the adjustments should be limited to the extent that the cost equals revenue. Any profit or loss related to under/over-billing of, for example, energy costs should therefore be taken into account in the ratio.

(iii) Management fees less actual/estimated profit element
Management fees receivable should be netted against costs in arriving at the EPRA Cost Ratio. In the business model of a typical listed property investment company, management services are not generally a significant profit generating part of the business. These fees are typically intended to offset costs.

Any profits from management fees should be excluded. The reasoning behind this is that netting such profits against costs would not give a fair reflection of the overhead and operating costs of the business.

(iv) Other Operating income/recharges intended to cover costs less any related profits
Where companies receive other operating income/recharges that are specifically intended to cover overhead and operating expenses then these should be deducted. Any related profits element should also be excluded.

(v) Share of Joint Venture expenses
Add the share of joint venture administrative and operating expenses not already included e.g. because the company applies the equity method of accounting.

(vi) Investment Property depreciation
Deduct Investment Property related depreciation where the company applies the cost method of accounting.

(vii) Ground rent costs
Any ground rent costs should be excluded from costs and also deducted from the gross rental income (item viii). This is to ensure that property companies that hold lease properties (vs. freehold) are not unfairly penalised.

(viii) Service charge costs rebilled through rents
See (ii) above.

(ix) Direct vacancy costs
The EPRA Cost Ratio (excluding direct vacancy costs) deducts all vacancy costs related to standing assets or to investment properties undergoing development/refurbishment if they have been included in expense lines (i). The costs that can be excluded are property expenses that are directly related to the property including the following:
• Rates/property taxes
• Service charge
• The relevant units’ contributions to the tenant association’s share of marketing costs
• Insurance premiums
• CRC – carbon tax
• Any other costs directly billed to the unit – e.g. individually metered energy bills

(x) Gross Rental Income less ground rent costs
Gross rental income should be calculated after deducting any ground rent payable.

All service charge fees/recharges/management fees and other income in respect of property expenses should not be added to gross rent but should be deducted from the related costs. If the rent covers service charge costs then companies should make an adjustment to exclude these.

Tenant incentives which are treated as part of rent averaging under IFRS (e.g. cash incentives) should be deducted from rental income, whereas any other costs should be included in costs. This is in line with IFRS requirements.

(xi) Service fee and service charge costs components of Gross Rental Income (if relevant)
See (ii) above.

(xii) Share of Joint Ventures (Gross Rental Income less ground rents)
Add the share of joint venture rent (after ground rents) not already included e.g. because the company applies the equity method of accounting.

Explanation of additional recommended disclosure

Overhead and operating expenses capitalised

As an additional disclosure EPRA recommends that companies disclose the amount of any directly attributable overhead and operating costs capitalised during the year (even if nil). These are costs that would normally be classified as overhead or administrative costs (predominantly staff costs). The disclosed amount should include the proportionate share of joint venture costs capitalised in this manner.

In addition to the disclosure of the amount of overhead and operating costs capitalised, a company should clearly explain which of the following scenarios best describes its policy regarding capitalizing of overheads; either in the EPRA note or as part of the accounting policy note (to the extent permissible under IFRS):

(a) the company has a policy of capitalising overhead and operating expenses and what types of costs are capitalised (e.g. legal fees, development staff, etc.)

(b) the company does not have any overhead costs capitalised. In this case it should explain the reasons for this, for example:
   • it has a policy of not capitalising any overhead and operating expenses
   • it has no assets under development
   • it uses third party service providers for its development activity and/or acquires assets directly from third party developers

Capital expenditure (e.g. construction/redevelopment costs, equipment, fixtures & fittings) should not be included in this figure.
Core Recommendations: Investment Property Reporting
4.1 Accounting basis under IAS 40

Issue:
IAS 40.30 allows real estate companies to choose either the fair value model or the cost model as their accounting policy for its investment properties.

Rationale:
It is EPRA’s aim to encourage uniform and comparable performance reporting by real estate companies. Fair value accounting will enhance uniformity, comparability and transparency of financial reporting by real estate companies.
Fair value accounting is an appropriate approach to calculate NAV.
Cost accounting is based upon historical events and decisions. Fair value accounting allows performance benchmarking with direct property market indices, such as IPD.

Recommendation:
Real estate companies should account for their property investments based upon the fair value model.
Where real estate companies decide not to follow the above recommendation and instead account for their investment properties based upon the depreciated cost model, the rationale for this should be clearly explained in the notes to the accounts.

4.2 Valuation information

Issue:
The description of and disclosure on the valuation procedures adopted by the company should lead to increased confidence in the valuation result and an increase in the prevalence and credibility of external valuations.

Rationale:
IAS 40 (para 75) does not specifically require a company to use an external valuer. It is EPRA’s aim to encourage the use of external valuations, since the credibility of valuations will increase when an external valuation is carried out and the external valuer is independent and objective. Valuation credibility is also enhanced if valuations are undertaken in accordance with recognised standards.
Valuation fees that are dependent upon the outcome of the valuation are in conflict with independency and objectivity of the valuer.
Inclusion of a summary of the valuer’s report or a table which reconciles the amounts vided by the valuers to the amounts included in the financial statements would add further credibility to the process.
Recommendation:

Companies should use an external valuer at least annually to determine the valuation of the entire investment portfolio and should disclose the names of the firms undertaking the valuations. Valuations should be in accordance with the International Valuation Standards.

Real estate companies should disclose the basis for the valuer’s fees.

Companies should either provide a summary of the valuation report/certificate approved by the valuer or a table which reconciles the amounts provided by the valuers to the amounts included in the financial statements.

4.3 Investment assets

Recommendation:

Real estate companies should include information on completed investment properties (and trading properties and joint venture interests where they are material) in their management narrative or in an exhibit. Including:

- Information on sub-portfolios as appropriate (e.g. appropriate sector, region or city):
  - Area in square meters at the period end
  - Average rent per square meter as at the period end
  - Annualised rent based on contractual rents passing as at the period end (adjusted on the same basis used for the EPRA Net Initial Yield calculation referred to in section 3.3)
  - Market rents (ERV) assuming the properties are fully leased at the period end
  - Net rental income for the period – see glossary for definition
  - Market Value
  - Vacancy by ERV – see glossary for definition
  - Analysis of lease expiration profile
  - Top ten tenants by rental income
  - Rental income breakdown by tenant business sector.

- A list of the major properties owned, containing the following information for each major property/building in the portfolio:
  - Location
  - Land area
  - Lettable building space
  - Type of property (e.g. the respective proportion of office/retail/residential/storage, etc.)
  - Vacancy by ERV
  - Acquisition Date
  - Percentage of ownership (and commentary on control provisions)
  - Form of ownership (e.g. fee or leasehold ownership)
  - Year of construction completion/major refurbishment.
4.4 Development assets

Issue:

Development activities can represent a source of significant value creation for property companies but can also comprise a greater financial risk than the ownership of existing rented assets. It is important therefore to provide sufficient information to enable investors to gain a clear understanding of the potential risks and opportunities associated with the development assets.

Rationale:

The valuation of development property which is not IPUC is described in IAS 16. Additional information on development property is required to obtain a clear understanding of the development assets and related project risks.

Recommendation:

Real estate companies should include the following information in their management narrative on development assets:

- Information on the overall development programme and sub-portfolios as appropriate (e.g. appropriate sector, region or city):
  - Development costs, including costs to date (with a reconciliation to the balance sheet value) and estimated costs to completion
  - Estimated rental value at the completion of the development based on current market rents
  - Proportion of the development which has been let as at the balance sheet date
  - Breakdown of lettable area according to regions and usage (e.g. office, residential, etc.).

- The above information should be provided for any individually significant development project, along with the following:
  - Location
  - Type of property (e.g. the respective proportion of office/retail/residential/storage/etc.)
  - Lettable building space
  - Expected date of completion
  - Percentage of ownership (and commentary on control provisions)
  - Status (e.g. planning permission/under construction/letting status, etc.).

The information contained in the management narrative above is also relevant to the final value of the completed building, and should therefore be consistent with the recommendations described in Section 4.3.

Suggested examples of best practice of formats for the clear presentation of this information can be found in the list of 2018 BPR Gold Award winners at section 6 of this document.
4.5 Like-for-like rental growth reporting

Issue:
Headline rental growth in general is a poor indicator of the performance of a real estate company’s portfolio, as many of the changes in headline growth may stem from acquisitions or from completion of development projects.

Rationale:
Information on the growth in rental income other than from acquisitions and disposals, allows stakeholders to arrive at an estimate of organic growth. This can be used to measure whether the reversions feed through as anticipated, and whether the vacancy rates are changing.

Like-for-like net rental growth compares the growth of the net rental income of the portfolio that has been consistently in operation, and not under development, during the two full preceding periods that are described. For example, 2014 like-for-like income growth thus compares the rental income of the stabilised portfolio with exactly the same portfolio in 2013.

Rental income growth may be derived from several categories, including:
- The effect of indexation to inflation or another price index
- The effect of an increase/decrease in the vacancy rate of the stabilised portfolio
- The effect of increase/decrease in non-recoverable property outgoings
- The effect of renegotiating rents with existing or new tenants.

Recommendation:
- Real estate companies should disclose the like-for-like rental growth for each significant sector of the portfolio and each geographical business segment at least twice a year.
- To enhance comparability, growth figures should be calculated year-on-year. Real estate companies should publish the growth in absolute amounts, applying consistent foreign currency exchange rates, with the prior year using the same rate as current year, as well as on a percentage basis.
- In addition, real estate companies should describe the size, in value, of the total portfolio or investment portfolio on which the like-for-like rental growth is based.
- Companies should disclose the basis and assumptions underlying the like-for-like mation.

Suggested examples of best practice for the clear presentation of this information can be found in the list of 2018 BPR Gold Award winners at section 6 of this document.
4.6 Additional portfolio information

Issue:

Additional information and disclosure on property statistics is useful for investors and analysts. Where real estate companies currently provide such disclosures, it is often inconsistent in format with other companies and measures are calculated in different ways, resulting in lack of comparability of data.

In order to be useful for investors and analysts, additional property statistics information should be comparable between real estate companies providing this information. EPRA recognises that strategic or competitive reasons may prevent some real estate companies from publishing additional information and the extent to which a company may wish to publish additional information should remain a management decision.

Recommendation:

EPRA recommends additional information and disclosure on the following property portfolio statistics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Portfolio information</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rental data</td>
<td>Properties owned throughout the 2 years (€m), Acquisitions (€m), Disposals (€m), Development property (€m), Exchange translation difference (€m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valuation data</td>
<td>Market Value of property (€m), Valuation Movement in the year (m), EPRA NIY (%) , Reversion (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development and redevelopment</td>
<td>Cost to date (€m), Costs to complete (€m), Future interest to be capitalised property (€m), Forecast total cost (€m), Forecast completion date, Lettable space, % Let, ERV on completion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lease data</td>
<td>Average lease length (to break, to expiry), Passing rent of leases expiring in (yr 1, yr 2, yrs 3-5), ERV of leases expiring in (yr 1, yr 2, yrs 3-5), Passing rent subject to review in (yr 1, yr 2, yrs 3-5), ERV of passing rent subject to review in (yr 1, yr 2, yrs 3-5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.7 Capital expenditure disclosure

The European listed real estate sector should publish a detailed analysis of capital expenditure (CapEx) in accordance with the standards set out below. The ‘comply or explain’ rule applies: it is permitted to deviate from the standards and/or opt for a different CapEx split – if more relevant for the business, but this requires an explanation as to why the company has chosen not to comply.

- The financial statements or supplementary information should include a separate section on CapEx, which should include a table (in a manner consistent with the suggested table below) and a brief discussion and analysis of the principal components of each item in the table.
- The data in the table should, wherever possible, tie into the financial statements and should be drawn up on an accrual basis. Conversion to cash amounts is encouraged if it is possible to reconcile balances directly to the Cash Flow statement. To facilitate further analysis, companies should disclose data for the previous year as a comparative.
- Where available, information related to JV properties should be disclosed separately from the wholly-owned property data and provided on a proportionate basis.
- Companies should split CapEx into the following components:

(i) **Acquisitions**: Amounts spent for the purchase of investment properties (including any capitalised transaction costs)

(ii) **Development**: Amounts spent on investment properties under construction and related development projects (including any internal costs capitalised).

(iii) **Investment Properties**: Amounts spent on the completed (operational) investment property portfolio.

   Suggested further breakdown:

   - Split between expenditure used for creation of additional lettable area (“Incremental lettable space”) and enhancing existing space (“No incremental lettable space”). Where expenditure is spent on both existing and incremental space, an estimate of the appropriate split should be made. If this is not possible, expenditure should be classified as “incremental lettable space” where available lettable space is increased by at least 10% compared to the total lettable area of the asset. Otherwise it should all be included under “no incremental lettable space”. The use of additional lines and footnotes below the CapEx table is encouraged where necessary to explain the adopted disclosure. Any internal costs capitalised should be included.

   - CapEx-related incentives that the property company has provided to the tenants, for example for staircases, mezzanine floors, etc., or any associated incentive amortisation treated as CapEx.

   - Ideally all other costs should be allocated to the lettable area split explained above, however if this is not possible, material types of expenditure should be separately disclosed.

(iv) **Capitalised interest**: If included in the definition of CapEx in the financial statements, capitalised finance costs added to the carrying value of investment properties should also be included in the analysis.

- If relevant, companies are encouraged to provide further details of capital expenditure by sector and/or geography.

**Suggested Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property-related CapEx</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group (excl. Joint Ventures)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisitions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment properties</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental lettable space</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No incremental lettable space</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenant incentives</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other material non-allocated types of expenditure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitalised interest (if applicable)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total CapEx</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conversion from accrual to cash basis

**Total CapEx on cash basis**
Definitions

Glossary of Terms
Development property
Property under development at the reporting date for the purpose of inclusion in investment property at completion.

EPRA Net Initial Yield (NIY)
See detailed definition in Section 3.3

EPRA ‘topped-up’ Net Initial Yield (NIY)
See detailed definition in Section 3.3

Equivalent yield
The theoretical IRR of the cash flows from a particular property or portfolio, assuming the property becomes fully occupied and that all rents revert to the current market level (ERV) at the next rent review date or lease expiry. No future rental growth is allowed for. The equivalent yield is sometimes described as the weighted average yield between the initial and the reversionary yield.

Estimated rental value (ERV)
The estimated rental value at which space would be let in the market conditions prevailing at the date of valuation (normally the balance sheet date). See also Market Rent.

Gross rental income
Rental income for the period from let properties reported under IFRS, after taking into account the net effects of straight-lining for lease incentives, including rent free periods. Gross rental income will include, where relevant, turnover-based rents, surrender premiums, car parking income, key money received, and interest receivable on finance leases.

Investment Property Under Construction (IPUC)
Property that is being constructed or developed for future use as investment property under IAS 40.

Lease incentive
Any consideration or expense borne by the property company, in order to secure a lease.

Lettable space
Any part of a property that can be leased to a tenant.

Like-for-like
See Section 4.5

Market rent (also known as ‘ERV’)
See ERV above.

Market value
The estimated amount for which a property should exchange on the date of valuation between a willing buyer and a willing seller in an arm’s length transaction after proper marketing wherein the parties had each acted knowledgeably, prudently, and without compulsion.
Net rental income
Gross rental income for the period less ground rents payable, service charge expenses and other non-recoverable property operating expenses such as insurance, real estate taxes, marketing and other vacant property costs.

Passing rent
The annualised cash rental income being received as at a certain date, excluding the net effects of straight-lining for lease incentives. For the avoidance of doubt, where no rent is currently being paid due to operation of a rent-free period, the passing rent will be shown as zero.

Property operating expenses
The expenses relating to operating property for a certain period of time for the account of the landlord (including service charges not recoverable because of vacancy).

Reversion
The estimated change in rent at review, based on today’s market rents expressed as a percentage of the contractual rents passing at the measurement date (but assuming all current lease incentives have expired).

Reversionary yield
The ERV of the property or portfolio less property operating expenses, expressed as a percentage of the market value of the property increased with (estimated) purchaser’s transaction costs.

Service charge expenses
The amounts paid and/or accrued by the landlord relating to lettable space for which it has been agreed with tenants to recover these amounts from the tenants periodically.

Service charge income
The amounts received and/or accrued by the landlord in respect of service charge expenses.

Trading property
Property acquired exclusively with a view to subsequent disposal in the near future or for development and resale.

Turnover rent (or Sales-based rent)
Any element of rent (to be) received which varies with the level of turnover of a tenant.

EPRA Vacancy Rate
See Section 3.4

Vacant space
Unrented lettable space.
Best Practice Examples
Examples of company best practice for the disclosure of the EPRA metrics can be found in the following list of annual reports. The following list of companies has been taken from the 2018 BPR awards – each of the companies listed were awarded a Gold award for their financial reporting in accordance with the EPRA BPR metrics.

- Adler Real Estate
- Aedes
- Aedifica
- Allreal Holding
- alstria office REIT
- Aroundtown
- Ascencio
- Assura
- Atrium European Real Estate
- Befimmo
- Beni Stabili
- British Land
- Buwog
- Capital & Regional
- Care Property Invest
- Carmila
- CeGeREAL
- Citycon
- Cofinimmo
- COIMA RES
- Covivio
- Custodian REIT
- Derwent London
- Deutsche EuroShop
- Deutsche Wohnen AG
- Entra
- Eurocommercial Properties
- Gecina
- Grand City Properties
- Great Portland Estates
- Green REIT
- Grivalia Properties REIC
- Hamborner REIT
- Hammerson
- Hibernia REIT
- Hispania Activos Inmobiliarios
- Icade
- Immobiliare Grande Distribuzione
- Impact Healthcare REIT
- Inmobiliaria Colonial
- Intervest Offices & Warehouses
- Intu Properties
- Klepierre
- Land Securities Group
- Lar Espana Real Estate
- Leasinvest Real Estate
- LEG Immobilien
- LondonMetric Property
- Mercialys
- Merlin Properties
- Mobimo Holding
- Montea
- Norwegian Property
- NSI
- Picton Property Income
- PSP Swiss Property
- QRF
- RDI REIT
- Retail Estates
- Schroder Real Estate Investment Trust
- Secure Income REIT
- SEGRO
- Shaftesbury
- Societe de la Tour Eiffel
- Societe Fonciere Lyonnaise
- TAG Immobilien
- TLG Immobilien
- Tritax Big Box REIT
- UK Commercial Property REIT
- Unibail-Rodamco-Westfield
- Vastned Retail
- VIB Vermoeugen
- Vonovia
- Warehouses De Pauw
- Wereldhave
- Wereldhave Belgium
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>EPM</th>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Additional Disclosure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><strong>EPRA BPR – GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Language of financial reporting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Financial reports in English</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Websites and press releases in English</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Compliance with EPRA BPR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Include summary table similar to the one on page 7 which includes the EPRA Performance Measures</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clearly indicate within management report or website which EPRA BPR have been disclosed and where a user can find these disclosures within the report</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><strong>EPRA PERFORMANCE MEASURES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>EPRA Earnings and EPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disclose EPRA Earnings and EPRA Earnings per Share in accordance with the recommendations in Section 3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>EPRA NAV metrics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disclose EPRA Net Reinstatement Value</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disclose EPRA Net Tangible Assets</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disclose EPRA Net Disposal Value</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>EPRA Net Initial Yield (NIY) and ‘topped-up’ NIY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disclose EPRA NIY and ‘topped-up’ NIY using a format comparable with that included in Section 3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>EPRA Vacancy Rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disclose EPRA Vacancy Rate</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>EPRA Cost Ratios</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disclose EPRA Cost Ratios</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><strong>INVESTMENT PROPERTY REPORTING</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Accounting basis under IAS 40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Account for their property investments based upon the fair value model</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Where real estate companies decide not to follow the above recommendation and instead account for their investment properties based upon the depreciated cost model, the rationale for this should be clearly explained in the notes to the accounts</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Valuation information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use an external valuer at least annually to determine the valuation of the entire investment portfolio and disclose the names of the firms undertaking the valuations</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valuations should be in accordance with the International Valuation Standards</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disclose the basis for the valuer’s fees</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td>EPM</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>Additional Disclosure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Either provide a summary of the valuation report/certificate approved by the valuer or a table which reconciles the amounts provided by the valuers to the amounts included in the financial statements</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td><strong>Investment assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Information on completed investment properties in their management narrative or in an exhibit in accordance with 4.3 including:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Information on sub-portfolios as appropriate (e.g. sector, region or city)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A list of the major properties owned, containing the information detailed on page in 4.3 for each major property/building in the portfolio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td><strong>Development assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Information in management narrative on development assets, as detailed on 4.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td><strong>Like-for-like rental growth reporting</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disclose the like-for-like rental growth for each significant sector of the portfolio and each geographical business segment at least twice a year</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Growth figures should be calculated year-on-year. Publish the growth in absolute amounts, applying fixed foreign currency exchange rates, as well as on a percentage basis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Describe the size, in value, of the total portfolio or investment portfolio on which the like-for-like rental growth is based</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disclose the basis and assumptions underlying the like-for-like information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
<td><strong>Additional portfolio information</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disclose the following additional information on property portfolio as follows:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rental data</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valuation data</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Development and redevelopment property</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lease data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7</td>
<td><strong>Capital expenditure disclosure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Information on capital expenditure disclosure in accordance with 4.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Copyright

EUROPEAN PUBLIC REAL ESTATE ASSOCIATION (“EPRA”) PROTECTION OF BEST PRACTICES RECOMMENDATIONS (“BPR”) AMENDED COPYRIGHT CLAUSE WORDING

The Best Practices Recommendations (“BPR”) are a set of original standards created by EPRA. EPRA owns the worldwide copyright in the BPR (which includes all text, graphics, information, data, tables and calculations, contained in the BPR). The copyright in the BPR is and shall remain the sole property of EPRA. No assignment of copyright is given to any person.

The BPR may not be used, sold, transferred, copied or reproduced in whole or in part in any manner or form or in or on any media to any person other than strictly in accordance with the terms below or with the prior written consent of EPRA.

All European public real estate companies are encouraged to use and adopt the BPR for the purposes of their financial reporting and publication of their annual report. To enable standardisation, transparency and comparability of the published results of listed real estate companies in Europe, the BPR, as a set of standards, must be applied and presented consistently. EPRA therefore permits the use of the BPR contained in this document, subject to the following terms:

1. Use of the EPRA BPR is open to all EPRA members under the terms & conditions of membership. Non-member companies may only use the EPRA BPR if a licence is obtained from EPRA for the purpose of reporting BPR. Exceptions are permitted only in specific circumstances with EPRA’s prior written consent.

2. Each calculation made using an EPRA performance measure:
   (a) must correctly follow the methodology set out in this document;
   (b) must include and apply each of the factors, variables or adjustments as identified in this document;
   (c) must be reproduced faithfully and in full as set out in this document without any alteration or amendment; and
   (d) must include the associated table (if applicable) reproduced in the manner set out in this document with all rows, columns, headings, descriptions and other text reproduced in full without any alteration or amendment.

3. Where you intend to incorporate the EPRA BPR in your annual report, you must use and reproduce a minimum of at least three separate performance measures and four separate core recommendations. Each calculation must be made in accordance with paragraph 2 above. Failure to use the minimum number performance measures and core recommendations will result in a breach of these terms and an infringement of EPRA’s copyright in the BPR.

If you have any questions concerning the usage or licensing of the copyright in the EPRA BPR or any other copyright materials, please contact the EPRA Finance & Legal Department at randa@epra.com. We encourage you to make contact as early as possible so that our team can discuss the options available to you.

Trade marks

“EPRA” is a trade registered mark of European Public Real Estate Association and is protected by law. All rights, including copyright and other intellectual property rights in and to this trade mark are owned by European Public Real Estate Association. By using the EPRA trade mark in any way, including by reference to the EPRA performance measures or other BPR contained in this document you acknowledge that EPRA is the sole owner of the trade mark and promise that you will not interfere with EPRA’s rights and that you will not harm, misuse, or bring into disrepute the EPRA trade mark.
Use of the EPRA trade mark is subject to the following terms:

(a) You may not use “EPRA” in a manner which could cause confusion as to European Public Real Estate Association sponsorship, affiliation or endorsement;

(b) Do not vary the “EPRA” trade mark by changing its spelling or by using it in combination with any another element other than those set out in the BPR. In particular, do not create your own definitions of performance measures which include the “EPRA” trade mark;

(c) Do not use “EPRA” or any potentially confusing variations in any Internet domain names;

(d) Do not use “EPRA” or potentially confusing variations as all or part of your company, product or service names;

(e) All references to “EPRA” in your own materials must include the following trade mark credit line:
   “EPRA is a registered trade mark of European Public Real Estate Association”
   The credit line may appear anywhere on the collateral, but typically is displayed on a copyright page or at the end of a document or web page; and

(f) You may not apply to register any trade mark which consists of or incorporates “EPRA” anywhere in the world.

Questions

If you have any questions regarding the use of the “EPRA” trade mark, please contact the EPRA Finance & Legal Department at randa@epra.com.

Disclaimer

EPRA is a not-for-profit association registered in Belgium with registered number 34122264 and VAT registration number BE 0811.738.560. Our registered office is at Square De Meeus 23, 1000 Brussels, Belgium.

EPRA pays the utmost care and attention to the content that it has placed in its reporting and accounting materials, on its website at www.epra.com (the “Website”) as well as published research, policies and guidance (together the “Materials”). Nevertheless, EPRA cannot exclude the possibility that the Materials may contain flaws or incorrect or incomplete content.

EPRA provides the Materials on an ‘as is’ basis and makes no representations or warranties of any kind with respect to the Materials or their contents and disclaims all such representations and warranties. In addition, EPRA makes no representations or warranties about the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the information and related graphics published in the Materials. All liability of EPRA howsoever arising from any such inaccuracies or errors is expressly excluded to the fullest extent permitted by law.

The use of the Materials is not intended to be a replacement or substitute for professional or accounting advice. Neither EPRA nor any of its directors, employees or other representatives will be liable for any loss or damage arising out of or in connection with the use of distribution of or the reliance on the Materials. This is a comprehensive limitation of liability that applies to all damages of any kind, including (without limitation) compensatory, direct, indirect or consequential damages, loss of data, income or profit, loss of or damage to property and claims of third parties.

EPRA has placed hyperlinks to other websites on the Website for the convenience of visitors. EPRA does not give any guarantees with respect to the quality of the content and/or reliability of the websites to which the hyperlinks refer to. EPRA is not liable for damage suffered as a consequence of the use of the content of these websites in whatever way.

The Materials have not been approved or disapproved by any governmental, federal or state securities commission or regulatory authority or other body or organisation, legal or otherwise. Users of the Materials are reminded that they must inform themselves and comply with their responsibilities and obligations under all applicable laws, rules and regulations, including all relevant accounting policies, standards and practices, the rules and regulations of relevant securities commissions, regulatory and other authorities, stock exchanges or other bodies and all duties and obligations to them and their shareholders and all other third parties.